Registered No. M—1175

The Mysore Gazette.

Vol. 60.] PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY. [No. 23.

BANGALORE, THURSDAY, JUNE 4, 1925.

PART IV.

NOTIFICATIONS BY THE HEADS OF DEPARTMENTS.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

IN THE CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE AT BANGALORE.

CIVIL SIDE.

Addendum et corrigendum dated 12th May 1925 to Rule of Practice No. 89, dated 15th December 1896.

The Chief Court directs that the form of cheque No. 98, prescribed for the refund of fees in *addendum et corrigendum* dated 12th May 1925 to Rule of Practice No. 113, dated 19th June 1903, be used for the refund of unused process stamps also, in supersession of the existing form of Cheque No. 99A.

Addendum et Corrigendum dated 12th May 1925 to Rule of Practice No. 113, dated the 19th June 1903.

The Chief Court directs that:—

1. In the preamble portion—
   (*a*) omit the comma and the word "and" after "1900" and add the words "as amended by Regulations VIII of 1922, XVII of 1923 and I of 1924;"
   (*b*) "II of 1898" be deleted and "I of 1883 as amended by II of 1898 and of institution fee paid in excess of legal requirements, under Section 151, Civil Procedure Code, 1911" be added.
2. The following form of the refund cheque be substituted for the existing forms:—

Serial No.....

ORDER FOR REFUND OF COURT FEE.

(CIVIL FORM No. 98.)

Order Book No.....

Date of issue .....

Number of suit, appeal or proceedings.....

Date of order.....

Amount Rs.....

To whom issued*.....

Under which rule :—

A. Section 17A, Mysore Civil Courts Regulation.

B. Sections 10, 11 and 12 of Court Fees Regulation.

C. Section 151, Civil Procedure Code.

D. Process Rules.

Judge.

Note.—*After the name enter whether petitioner, plaintiff or defendant, etc.

Serial No.....

CERTIFICATE FOR REFUND OF COURT FEE.

(CIVIL FORM No. 98.)

In the Court of.....

Suit No.....of 19 -19 .
Appeal No.....of 19 -19 .

Plaintiff.		Defendant.
Appellant	*vs.*	Respondent.
Petitioner		Opponent.

This certificate is hereby granted to.. .....the party abovenamed authorising the payment to him or to his order by the Officer in charge of the Treasury at.....of the sum of Rupees.....being refund of court fee ordered under the rule specified below :—

A. Section 17A of the Civil Courts Regulation.

B. Sections 10, 11 and 12 of the Court Fees Regulation.

C. Section 151, Civil Procedure Code (institution fee paid in excess of legal requirements).

D. Process Rules.

Given under my hand and the seal of this Court this..... day of.....19 .

Judge.

N.B.—1. If the payment of this amount is not claimed within fifteen days, it will not be recognised at the Treasury.
2. In the case of refund under D, the unused stamps will be affixed to this cheque, duly punched and cancelled.
3. Out of A, B, C and D retain on ore under which refunds are ordered and score out the rest.

3. In the heading of the Refund Register No. XII, delete the word 'and' after '1883,' put a comma instead and delete the fullstop after "1900" and add the words "as amended by Regulations VIII of 1922, XVII of 1923 and I of 1924 and under Section 151, Civil Procedure Code, 1911".

The procedure laid down in other respects regarding the issue of refund certificates under Sections 10, 11 and 12 of the Court Fees Regulation and under Section 17A of the Civil Courts Regulation will apply *mutatis mutandis* to the case of refunds under Section 151, Civil Procedure Code, also.

A. SREENIVASARAGAVACHARI,
Registrar.

IN THE COURT OF THE SUBORDINATE JUDGE AT BANGALORE.

Insolvency Application No. 13 *of* 1922-23.

Munisami Naidu and others ... ... *Petitioners.*

Pursuant to a petition dated 21st August 1922, against opponents and on the application of T. Krishnaswamy and on reading the petition and hearing the parties, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Creditors should prove their debts by 19th June 1925.

Dated this 25th day of May 1925.

Insolvency Application No. 36 *of* 1922-23.

Bhagavanjee and others ... ... *Petitioners.*

Pursuant to a petition dated 1st February 1925, against opponents and on the application of C. Muddu Veerappa Setty, and on reading the petition and hearing the parties, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Creditors should prove their debts by 19th June 1925.

Dated this 25th day of May 1925.

Insolvency Application No. 40 *of* 1922-23.

Raja Munappa Setty and others ... ... *Petitioners.*

Pursuant to a petition dated 17th February 1923, against the opponents and on the application of Pillai Gowda *alias* Maragala Gowda and another and on reading the petition and hearing the parties, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Creditors should prove their debts by 3rd July 1925.

Dated this 25th day of May 1925.

Inslovency Application No. 49 *of* 1922-23.

Mathrappa and others ... ... *Petitioners.*

Pursuant to a petition dated 29th March 1923, against the opponents and on the application of Thotalakere Channappa and on reading the petition and hearing the parties, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Creditors should prove their debts by 26th June 1925.

Dated the 25th day of May 1925.

Insolvency Application No. 56 *of* 1922-23.

Mysore Bank ... ... *Petitioners.*

Pursuant to a petition dated 11th June 1923, against opponent and on the application of Kale Gowda, son of Siddai Gowda and on reading the petition and hearing the parties, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Creditor should prove his debt by 19th June 1925.

Dated this 25th day of May 1925.

P. MAHADEVAYYA,
Sub-Judge.

IN THE COURT OF THE SUBORDINATE JUDGE AT SHIMOGA.

Insolvency Application No. 9 *of* 1924-25.

Hayath Sab, son of Peer Ahamed Sab, resident of Shimoga ... *Petitioner.*

Pursuant to a petition dated 19th January 1925, against opponents and on the application of the above petitioner and on reading the same and hearing him, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 27th day of March 1925.

B. RANGAIENGAR,
Sub-Judge.